Stochastic Differential Equations: General Models of Individual Growth in Uncertain Environments and Application to Profit Optimization in Livestock Production
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Individual animal growth in a randomly varying environment is modeled using stochastic differential equation models. These models are generalizations of the classical deterministic growth models used in regression methods, but incorporate a random dynamical term describing the effects of environmental and other random fluctuations on the growth process. We describe parameter estimation and prediction methods, illustrating with data on cow growth of the Mertolengo breed raised in Alentejo (Portugal) under natural conditions. We first show that these models outperform the traditional regression models in predictive power for they take into account the dynamical nature of the growth process and its interaction with environmental fluctuations.

We then apply the models to profit optimization in livestock production, taking into account production costs and sales revenues.

Assuming the animal is to be sold when it reaches some prescribed age, we determine the optimal age at which an animal should be sold in order to maximize profit. Another possibility is to sell the animal when it reaches a prescribed size. The first passage time distribution through a prescribed size is studied and used to determine the optimal size at which the animal should be sold. We then determine which policy (selling at a fixed age or selling at a fixed size) is preferable in terms of profit.

Individual growth models

Most commonly classical deterministic growth models used to describe individual growth of an animal (or plant) in terms of its size $X_t$ (weight, volume, height, length, etc.) at instant (age) $t$ can be written in the form of an autonomous differential equation given by

$$\frac{dY_t}{dt} = \beta (\alpha - Y_t), \ Y_{t_0} = y_0,$$
where $Y_t$ can be considered as a modified size, \( i.e., \ Y_t = h(X_t) \), where \( h \) is a known strictly increasing continuously differentiable function. Here \( y_0 = h(x_0) \) and \( \alpha = h(A) \), where \( x_0 \) is the observed size at \( t_0 \) (initial observation) and \( A \) represents the asymptotic size or size at maturity. The parameter \( \beta > 0 \) is the growth coefficient and represents the rate of approach to maturity. According to the choice of \( h \) in \( (1) \) we obtain well known deterministic models. For instance, when \( h(x) = x \) we obtain the monomolecular model; if \( h(x) = -x^{-1} \) we are in the case of the logistic model; when \( h(x) = \ln x \) we have the Gompertz model and for \( h(x) = x^c, \ c > 0, \) we obtain the Bertalanffy-Richards model.

Individual growth of organisms must take into account environmental random fluctuations that affect the growth rate and autonomous stochastic differential equations (SDE) are quite adequate to describe this phenomenon. The SDE models we present are generalizations of the classical deterministic growth models \( (1) \) but incorporate a random dynamical term, describing the effects of environmental and other random fluctuations on the growth process. We use the model

\[
(2) \quad dY_t = \beta (\alpha - Y_t) \, dt + \sigma \, dW_t, \quad Y(t_0) = y_0.
\]

The intensity of the environmental random fluctuations is measured by the parameter \( \sigma > 0 \) and \( W_t \) is the standard Wiener process.

Here we will consider that the asymptotic size \( A \) is fixed (the same for all individuals) and the growth coefficient \( \beta \) is constant throughout the complete growth curve. This is a variant of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model also known in finance as the Vasicek model.

Some developments on the case where we assume that the parameter \( A \) varies randomly from individual to individual can be found in Braumann et al. \( (2009) \), resulting in a mixed-effects SDE model. In Filipe et al. \( (2010a) \) we have studied the generalization of model \( (2) \) to the multiphasic case, where we have assumed that the growth coefficient \( \beta \) takes different values for different phases of the individual’s life.

The solution of \( (2) \), for \( t \geq t_0 \),

\[
(3) \quad Y_t = \alpha + e^{-\beta(t-t_0)}(y_0 - \alpha) + \sigma e^{-\beta t} \int_{t_0}^{t} e^{\beta s} \, dW_s
\]

is an ergodic diffusion process with drift coefficient \( \beta (\alpha - y) \) and diffusion coefficient \( \sigma^2 \), and follows a gaussian distribution with mean \( \alpha + e^{-\beta(t-t_0)}(y_0 - \alpha) \) and variance \( \sigma^2(1 - e^{-2\beta(t-t_0)}/(2\beta)) \), which converges, as \( t \to +\infty \), to a gaussian distribution with mean \( \alpha \) and variance \( \sigma^2/(2\beta) \). For \( t_{k-1} < t_k \) and \( \delta_{k-1} = t_k - t_{k-1} \), given \( Y_{t_{k-1}} = y_{k-1} \), we see that \( Y_{t_k} = \alpha + e^{-\beta \delta_{k-1}}(y_{k-1} - \alpha) + \sigma e^{-\beta \delta_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} e^{\beta s} \, dW_s \) and the transition distribution is gaussian with mean and variance given by \( \alpha + (y_{k-1} - \alpha) e^{-\beta \delta_{k-1}} \) and \( \sigma^2(1 - e^{-2\beta \delta_{k-1}})/(2\beta) \), respectively.

**Fitting and Prediction**

Consider we wish to model \( Y \) as a function of \( t \) having observed \( (t_1, y_1), (t_2, y_2), \ldots, (t_n, y_n) \), where \( y_k \) is the observed value of \( Y_{t_k} \).

A classic regression model can be written using the expression \( y_i = f(t_i, \theta) + \varepsilon_i \), where \( f(t_i, \theta) = \alpha + e^{-\beta(t-t_0)}(y_0 - \alpha) \) is the solution of \( (1) \) with \( \theta = (\alpha, \beta, y_0) \), and \( \varepsilon_i \) are \( i.i.d. \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \).

For fitting of \( Y \) values, as well as for prediction of future values of \( Y \), we use the expression \( \hat{y}_t = \hat{\alpha} + e^{-\beta(t-t_0)}(\hat{y}_0 - \hat{\alpha}) \), where \( \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} \) and \( \hat{y}_0 \) are least square parameters estimates.

The traditional assumption of regression models that observed deviations from the regression curve are independent at different ages is unrealistic when the deviations are due to environmental random fluctuations. SDE models are built to incorporate the dynamics of the growth process and the effect environmental random fluctuations have on such dynamics.
For the SDE models, we can not really talk about fitting the curve for ages \( t = t_k \), because \( Y_{tk} \) is the exact value given by (3) of the individual modified size and not, as in the regression case, a value measured with error for which we wish to estimate the true value. Here, for convenience, we will refer as fitting the estimate of the deterministic curve that we would obtain in the absence of random environmental fluctuations (\( \sigma = 0 \)) if we start with size \( y_0 \) at time \( t_0 \). In our case, this is equivalent to the curve of the expected values of \( Y_t \). So, the fitting of the growth curve can be given by

\[
\hat{Y}_t = \hat{E} [Y_{t_k} | y_0] = \hat{\alpha} + (y_0 - \hat{\alpha}) e^{-\hat{\beta}(t-t_0)},
\]

where \( \hat{\alpha} \) and \( \hat{\beta} \) are maximum likelihood estimates based on the full set of available observations of \( Y_t \).

For prediction, given the values of the process until instant \( t_k \), \( Y_{t_1}, Y_{t_2}, ..., Y_{tk} \), we intend to predict the value \( Y_t \) for \( t > t_k \)

\[
(4) \quad Y_t = \alpha + (Y_{tk} - \alpha) e^{-\beta(t-t_k)} + \sigma e^{-\beta t} \int_{t_k}^{t} e^{\beta s} dW(s).
\]

The exact observed sizes until instant \( t_k \) should be used to predict future sizes. Since \( Y_t \) is a Markov process, \( E [Y_t | Y_{t_1}, ..., Y_{tk}] = E [Y_t | Y_{tk}] \). Conditional on \( Y_{tk} = y_k \), one can see from (4) that \( Y_t \) follows a gaussian distribution with mean \( \alpha + (y_k - \alpha) e^{-\beta(t-t_k)} \) and variance \( \frac{\sigma^2}{2s} \left( 1 - e^{-2\beta(t-t_k)} \right) \). For prediction, we can use

\[
\hat{Y}_t = \hat{E} [Y_t | Y_{tk} = y_k] = \hat{\alpha} + (Y_k - \hat{\alpha}) e^{-\hat{\beta}(t-t_k)},
\]

where \( \hat{\alpha} \) and \( \hat{\beta} \) are maximum likelihood estimates based on the first \( k \) observations. Considering that \( \hat{Y}_t - Y_t \) is approximately gaussian, the 95% confidence interval for \( Y_t \) can be determined by

\[
\hat{Y}_t - E [\hat{Y}_t - Y_t] \pm 1.96 \sqrt{Var [\hat{Y}_t - Y_t]}.\]

For illustration of the previous results, we have worked with data on the weight of one mertolengo cow randomly selected between a set of 97 animals. For this animal we had available 51 observations from birth till approximately 12 years of age. We have started by comparing the nonlinear regression (NLR) model with the SDE model in terms of fitting. Table 1, shows estimation results based on the data. For further analysis of the quality of prediction, we used for estimation a subset of the data, leaving out the last 15 observations of the trajectory, \((t_{36}, y_{36}), (t_{37}, y_{37}), ..., (t_{50}, y_{50})\). We present the results for long-term (LT) prediction and step-by-step (SS) prediction at the ages left out of the estimation procedure. In the LT case, we predict future weights of the animal using estimates obtained based on the weights observed until age \( t_{36} \). In each step of the SS case, we just predict the animal size at next age using the current size as a starting point and using maximum likelihood updated parameter estimates based on all observations up to and including the present time. The RMSE values obtained are shown in Table 2 for two models that have proved to be appropriate (see, for instance, Filipe and Braumann, 2007): Gompertz \((h(x) = \ln x)\) and Bertalanffy-Richards with \( c = 1/3 \ (h(x) = x^{1/3}) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( y_0 )</th>
<th>( A )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gompertz</strong></td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>409.44</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDE</strong></td>
<td>406.13</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B-R</strong></td>
<td>30.75</td>
<td>416.41</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDE</strong></td>
<td>407.36</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of prediction, one can see that the SDE model is much better than the NLR model.
weight of the animal at the age of purchase and applying Stein’s Lemma for the SBRM case we get
\[ (6) \]

with \( C \) and commercialization costs and typically about 0.5), \( C_1 \) the fixed costs (e.g., purchase price of the animal, veterinary, transportation and commercialization costs) and \( C_2 = c_2(t - t_0) \) the variable costs, supposed proportional to the time of animal raising, we can write the profit as \( L_t = PRX_t - C_1 - C_2 \). We consider the cases of the stochastic Gompertz model (SGM) and the stochastic Bertalanffy-Richards (SBRM), \( X_t = h^{-1}(Y_t) = e^{Y_t} \) and \( X_t = h^{-1}(Y_t) = Y_t^3 \), respectively. Consequently, the profit can be expressed as a function of \( Y_t \), putting \( y_0 = \ln x_0 \) for the SGM and \( y_0 = x_0^{1/3} \) for the SBRM, the \( L_t \) probability density function can be obtained as follows
\[ (5) \]

\[ f_{L_t}(u) = f_{Y_t}(l_t^{-1}(u)) \left| \frac{dl_t^{-1}(u)}{du} \right| = \]

\[ (6) \]

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{\sigma^2}{2\tau} (1 - e^{-2\beta(t-t_0)})}} \exp \left( -\frac{(l_t^{-1}(u) - \alpha - (y_0 - \alpha) e^{-\beta(t-t_0)})^2}{2 \frac{\sigma^2}{2\tau} (1 - e^{-2\beta(t-t_0)})} \right) \left| \frac{dl_t^{-1}(u)}{du} \right| \]

with \( l_t^{-1}(u) = \ln \left( \frac{u + C_1 + C_2(t-t_0)}{PR} \right) \) for the SGM and \( l_t^{-1}(u) = \left( \frac{u + C_1 + C_2(t-t_0)}{PR} \right)^{1/3} \) for the SBRM. For the particular case of SGM, we can easily verify that \( L_t \) follows a shifted log-normal distribution.

The mean and variance of \( L_t \) are given by
\[ (7) \]

\[ E[L_t] = PRE[X_t] - C_1 - c_2(t - t_0) \text{ and } Var[L_t] = \sigma^2 R^2 \text{Var}[X_t]. \]

Assuming the initial weight \( x_0 \) known, using the properties of the log-normal distribution for the SGM we have obtained

\[ E[X_t] = E[e^{Y_t}] = e^{E[Y_t] + \frac{Var[Y_t]}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad Var[X_t] = Var[e^{Y_t}] = e^{2E[Y_t] + 2Var[Y_t]} - e^{2E[Y_t]} + Var[Y_t], \]

and applying Stein’s Lemma for the SBRM case we get

\[ E[X_t] = E\left[Y_t^3\right] = 3E[Y_t]Var[Y_t] + E[Y_t]^3 \]

### Profit optimization

We study optimization issues for the mean profit from selling an animal, comparing two methodologies, one that consists in selling the animal when a certain optimal age is reached (independently of weight) and the other that consists in selling the animal when an optimal weight is achieved (independently of age). Let us start by the first case - optimization of the mean profit through the animals age.

The profit from selling an animal to the cattle market, \( L \), can be computed as \( L = V - C \) where \( V \) represents the selling price and \( C \) the costs of acquisition and animal raising. Let \( X_{t_0} = x_0 \) be the weight of the animal at the age of purchase \( t_0 \) (assumed known) and \( t \) the age at which the animal is to be sold. If we denote by \( P \) the price per kg of carcass, \( R \) the dressing proportion (reflects the amount of carcass in relation to the animals live weight = carcass weight/live weight, for mertolengo cattle typically about 0.5), \( C_1 \) the fixed costs (e.g., purchase price of the animal, veterinary, transportation and commercialization costs) and \( C_2 = c_2(t - t_0) \) the variable costs, supposed proportional to the time of animal raising, we can write the profit as \( L_t = PRX_t - C_1 - C_2 \). We consider the cases of the stochastic Gompertz model (SGM) and the stochastic Bertalanffy-Richards (SBRM), \( X_t = h^{-1}(Y_t) = e^{Y_t} \) and \( X_t = h^{-1}(Y_t) = Y_t^3 \), respectively. Consequently, the profit can be expressed as a function of \( Y_t \), putting \( y_0 = \ln x_0 \) for the SGM and \( y_0 = x_0^{1/3} \) for the SBRM, the \( L_t \) probability density function can be obtained as follows

\[ f_{L_t}(u) = f_{Y_t}(l_t^{-1}(u)) \left| \frac{dl_t^{-1}(u)}{du} \right| = \]

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{\sigma^2}{2\tau} (1 - e^{-2\beta(t-t_0)})}} \exp \left( -\frac{(l_t^{-1}(u) - \alpha - (y_0 - \alpha) e^{-\beta(t-t_0)})^2}{2 \frac{\sigma^2}{2\tau} (1 - e^{-2\beta(t-t_0)})} \right) \left| \frac{dl_t^{-1}(u)}{du} \right| \]

with \( l_t^{-1}(u) = \ln \left( \frac{u + C_1 + C_2(t-t_0)}{PR} \right) \) for the SGM and \( l_t^{-1}(u) = \left( \frac{u + C_1 + C_2(t-t_0)}{PR} \right)^{1/3} \) for the SBRM. For the particular case of SGM, we can easily verify that \( L_t \) follows a shifted log-normal distribution.

The mean and variance of \( L_t \) are given by

\[ E[L_t] = PRE[X_t] - C_1 - c_2(t - t_0) \text{ and } Var[L_t] = \sigma^2 R^2 \text{Var}[X_t]. \]

Assuming the initial weight \( x_0 \) known, using the properties of the log-normal distribution for the SGM we have obtained

\[ E[X_t] = E[e^{Y_t}] = e^{E[Y_t] + \frac{Var[Y_t]}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad Var[X_t] = Var[e^{Y_t}] = e^{2E[Y_t] + 2Var[Y_t]} - e^{2E[Y_t]} + Var[Y_t], \]

and applying Stein’s Lemma for the SBRM case we get

\[ E[X_t] = E\left[Y_t^3\right] = 3E[Y_t]Var[Y_t] + E[Y_t]^3 \]
and

\[
\text{Var}\ [X_t] = \text{Var}\ [Y_t^3] = 36E^2 [Y_t] \text{Var}^2 [Y_t] + 9E^3 [Y_t] \text{Var} [Y_t] + 15 \text{Var}^3 [Y_t].
\]

Let us consider the situation where a mertolengo cow raised with the mother is bought by a producer for 200 euros, at 7 months (0.58 years) of age (approximate weaning age) and 160kg, to be sold at age \( t \). The usual \( t \) for market sale is 16 months (1.33 years). What is the expected profit of this producer? We must consider, in the case of mertolengo cattle breed, that the dressing proportion is 50% of live weight (\( R = 0.5 \)); the usual raising costs (in euros) for an animal from the age of 7 months to age \( t \) are: 18.85 for commercialization and transportation, 26.68 for feeding/month, 7.25 for sanitation costs and 1.55 for other costs; and we consider typical selling prices \( P \) (euros/kg) of the animal to be 3.25, 3.50 or 3.75 euros. The mean and variance of the profit from selling the animal at age \( t \) can then be determined using (7), considering now \( C_1 = 200 + 18.85 + 7.25 + 1.55 = 227.45 \) and \( c_2 = 26.68/\text{month} \times 12\text{months/year} = 320.16/\text{year}. \)

Table 3 shows the optimal age (\( t_{\text{opt}} \)) for selling the animal in order to obtain a maximum mean profit. The correspondent standard-deviation of the profit is shown. To obtain these results we have used maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters, based on the complete data set available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( P )</th>
<th>3.25</th>
<th>3.50</th>
<th>3.75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( t_{\text{opt}} )</td>
<td>( E[L_{t_{\text{opt}}}^3] )</td>
<td>( \text{sd}[L_{t_{\text{opt}}}^3] )</td>
<td>( t_{\text{opt}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGM</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>62.19</td>
<td>109.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBRM</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>39.73</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the previous approach we can determine the best age at which the animal is to be sold in order to optimize the mean profit. However, market demands may be others, such as the demand for animals with a certain specific weight. In this case, it is important to be able to determine the average time required for the animal to reach that weight. For this, the theory of first passage times will find here a very interesting application.

Denote by \( Q^* \) the upper threshold for the size \( X_t \) of the animal. Since \( Y_t = h(X_t) \), the time required for an animal to reach a certain size \( Q^* \) is equivalent to the first passage time \( T_Q = \inf \{ t > 0 : Y_t = Q \} \) of \( Y_t \) by \( Y_t = h(Q^*) \). Assume \( -\infty < y_0 < Q < +\infty \), with \( Q \) in the interior of the state space of \( Y_t \). In Braumann et al. (2009), we present details on obtaining, for our class of SDE models, the expressions for the mean and variance of \( T_Q \):

\[
E[T_Q|Y(0) = y_0] = \frac{1}{\beta} \int \frac{\Phi(y)}{\varphi(y)} dy
\]

and

\[
\text{Var}[T_Q|Y(0) = y_0] = \frac{2}{\beta^2} \int \frac{1}{\varphi(z)} \int_{-\infty}^{z} \frac{\Phi^2(x)}{\varphi(x)} dx dz,
\]

where \( \Phi \) and \( \varphi \) are the distribution function and the probability density function of a standard normal random variable. The values of the mean and variance of \( T_Q \) are obtained by numerical integration of (8) and (9).
The profit from selling the animal when a certain weight $Q^*$ is achieved, is $L_{Q^*} = PRQ^* - C_1 - c_2T_{Q^*}$. Consequently, the mean and variance for the profit is given by $E[L_{Q^*}] = PRQ^* - C_1 - c_2E[T_{Q^*}|Y(0) = y_0]$ and $Var[L_{Q^*}] = c_2^2Var[T_{Q^*}|Y(0) = y_0]$.

We have considered the same situation described above, but now, we have computed the optimal selling weight $Q^*_{opt}$ of the animal, in order to maximize the profit. Results are shown on Table 4.

Table 4: Maximum mean profit (in euros), correspondent optimal selling weight (in kg) and standard-deviation (in euros)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>3.25</th>
<th>3.50</th>
<th>3.75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$Q^*_{opt}$</td>
<td>$E[L_{Q^*_{opt}}]$</td>
<td>$sd[L_{Q^*_{opt}}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGM</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>68.32</td>
<td>68.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBRM</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>41.74</td>
<td>51.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We can compare the two methodologies presented for optimization of the mean profit by comparing the values of Tables 3 and 4. We can observe that, for the typical market values the second methodology is preferable, since it allows a higher optimal profit than the first one and, in the SGM case, even with a lower standard deviation of that optimal profit (for the SBRM the standard-deviation is slightly higher in the second methodology).
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