

Estimation of the third order parameter in extreme value statistics

Goegebeur, Yuri

University of Southern Denmark, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Campusvej 55

5230 Odense M, Denmark

E-mail: yuri.goegebeur@stat.sdu.dk

de Wet, Tertius

Stellenbosch University, Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science

Private Bag X1

7602 Matieland, South Africa

E-mail: tdewet@sun.ac.za

Introduction

A distribution is said to be of Pareto-type if for some $\gamma > 0$ its survival function is of the form:

$$(1) \quad 1 - F(x) = x^{-1/\gamma} \ell_F(x), \quad x > 0,$$

where ℓ_F denotes a slowly varying function at infinity, i.e.

$$(2) \quad \frac{\ell_F(\lambda x)}{\ell_F(x)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

The Pareto-type model can also be stated in an equivalent way in terms of the tail quantile function U , where $U(x) := \inf\{y : F(y) \geq 1 - 1/x\}$, $x > 1$, as follows

$$(3) \quad U(x) = x^\gamma \ell_U(x),$$

with ℓ_U again a slowly varying function at infinity (Gnedenko, 1943). The estimation of the parameter γ has received a lot of attention in the extreme value literature; we refer to Beirlant *et al.* (2004) and de Haan and Ferreira (2006) for good accounts of such estimation procedures. Consistency of estimators for γ can typically be achieved under (1), which is a first order condition, whereas establishing asymptotic normality requires more structure on the tail of F . This extra structure is typically formulated in terms of a second order condition on the tail behavior, the so-called slow variation with remainder condition; see de Haan and Ferreira (2006). Let $D_\rho(x) := \int_1^x u^{\rho-1} du = (x^\rho - 1)/\rho$, $x > 0$, $\rho < 0$.

Second order condition (\mathcal{R}_2) *There exists a positive real parameter γ , a negative real parameter ρ and a function b with $b(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, of constant sign for large values of t , such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln U(tx) - \ln U(t) - \gamma \ln x}{b(t)} = D_\rho(x), \quad \forall x > 0.$$

Let us remark here that condition (\mathcal{R}_2) implies that $|b|$ is regularly varying of index ρ (Geluk and de Haan, 1987), and hence the parameter ρ determines the rate of convergence of $\ln U(tx) - \ln U(t)$ to its limit, being $\gamma \ln x$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Although the estimation of the second order parameter ρ is challenging, both from a theoretical and an applied perspective, several estimators for ρ that work well in practice have recently been introduced, and their asymptotic properties studied. Consistency of these estimators can be established under

(\mathcal{R}_2), whereas asymptotic normality requires again a further condition on the tail behavior, the third order condition. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\rho,\beta}(x) &:= \int_1^x y^{\rho-1} \int_1^y u^{\beta-1} du dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{x^{\rho+\beta} - 1}{\rho + \beta} - \frac{x^\rho - 1}{\rho} \right), \quad x > 0, \rho, \beta < 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Third order condition (\mathcal{R}_3) *There exists a positive real parameter γ , negative real parameters ρ and β , functions b and \tilde{b} with $b(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $\tilde{b}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, both of constant sign for large values of t , such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{\ln U(tx) - \ln U(t) - \gamma \ln x}{\tilde{b}(t)} - D_\rho(x)}{\tilde{b}(t)} = H_{\rho,\beta}(x), \quad \forall x > 0.$$

Note that under condition (\mathcal{R}_3) it can be proven that $|\tilde{b}|$ is regularly varying of index β . In this paper we develop a class of estimators for the third order parameter β .

Construction of the estimator

Consider X_1, \dots, X_n independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables according to a distribution function F satisfying (1), with associated order statistics $X_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n,n}$. Let $T_{n,k}(K)$ denote a kernel statistic with kernel function K :

$$(4) \quad T_{n,k}(K) := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k K \left(\frac{j}{k+1} \right) Z_j$$

where $Z_j := j(\ln X_{n-j+1,n} - \ln X_{n-j,n})$, the so-called scaled log-spacings of successive order statistics introduced in Beirlant *et al.* (1999) and Feuerverger and Hall (1999). Further, for $\beta, \rho < 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(K) &:= \int_0^1 K(u) du, & \sigma^2(K) &:= \int_0^1 K^2(u) du, \\
 I_1(K, \rho) &:= \int_0^1 K(u) u^{-\rho} du, & I_2(K, \rho, \beta) &:= \int_0^1 K(u) u^{-\rho} \frac{u^{-\beta} - 1}{\beta} du.
 \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we will consider statistics of type (4) with a log-weight function

$$\mathbb{L}_\alpha(u) := \frac{(-\ln u)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}, \quad u \in (0, 1),$$

with $\alpha > 0$ and where Γ denotes the Gamma function, i.e. $\Gamma(\omega) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{\omega-1} dx$ ($\omega > 0$), as basic building blocks for the class of estimators for β . Therefore, we start with studying their asymptotic behavior. The following proposition gives the asymptotic distributional representation of $T_{n,k}(\mathbb{L}_\alpha)$ under (\mathcal{R}_3). Let $Y_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq Y_{n,n}$ denote the order statistics of a random sample of size n from the unit Pareto distribution, with distribution function $F(y) = 1 - 1/y$, $y > 1$, and

$$N_k(\mathbb{L}_\alpha) := \sqrt{k} \frac{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{L}_\alpha \left(\frac{j}{k+1} \right) (F_j - 1)}{\sigma(\mathbb{L}_\alpha)}$$

with F_1, \dots, F_k i.i.d. $\text{Exp}(1)$ random variables.

Proposition 1 *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables according to a distribution satisfying (\mathcal{R}_3). If $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $k/n \rightarrow 0$ we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{n,k}(\mathbb{L}_\alpha) &\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \gamma + \gamma \sigma(\mathbb{L}_\alpha) \frac{N_k(\mathbb{L}_\alpha)}{\sqrt{k}} + b(Y_{n-k,n}) I_1(\mathbb{L}_\alpha, \rho) \\
 &\quad + b(Y_{n-k,n}) \tilde{b}(Y_{n-k,n}) I_2(\mathbb{L}_\alpha, \rho, \beta) (1 + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) + b(Y_{n-k,n}) O_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proposition follows immediately from the fact that $T_{n,k}(\mathbb{L}_\alpha)$ is an element of the class of kernel statistics studied in Theorem 2 of Goegebeur *et al.* (2010). Note that

$$I_1(\mathbb{L}_\alpha, \rho) = \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^{\alpha+1}}, \quad I_2(\mathbb{L}_\alpha, \rho, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\frac{1}{(1-\rho-\beta)^{\alpha+1}} - \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^{\alpha+1}} \right],$$

$$\sigma^2(\mathbb{L}_\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)}{\Gamma^2(\alpha+1)}.$$

The basic idea is now to use Proposition 1 to construct differences and ratios of statistics $T_{n,k}(\mathbb{L}_\alpha)$, chosen in such a way that the term involving $I_2(\mathbb{L}_\alpha, \rho, \beta)$ becomes the dominant one.

For arbitrary positive real parameters α and $\tilde{\alpha}$, we denote

$$I_1(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho) := I_1(\mathbb{L}_\alpha, \rho) - I_1(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \rho), \quad I_2(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho, \beta) := I_2(\mathbb{L}_\alpha, \rho, \beta) - I_2(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \rho, \beta).$$

From Proposition 1 we have that if $\sqrt{kb}(n/k) \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Psi_{n,k}(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}) := \frac{T_{n,k}(\mathbb{L}_\alpha) - T_{n,k}(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}})}{T_{n,k}(\mathbb{L}_{\check{\alpha}}) - T_{n,k}(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}})} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \psi(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \rho) := \frac{I_1(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho)}{I_1(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho)} = \frac{(1-\rho)^{\tilde{\alpha}-\alpha} - 1}{(1-\rho)^{\tilde{\alpha}-\check{\alpha}} - 1},$$

where $\check{\alpha}$ is again an arbitrary positive real parameter. Now, in order to make the term involving $I_2(\alpha, \rho, \beta)$ the dominant one, we construct a ratio of differences of statistics $\Psi_{n,k}(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha})$, with appropriately chosen parameters $\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}$ and $\check{\alpha}$. Setting $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \tau_3$ and $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 < \tau_1$, consider the statistic

$$\Lambda_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) := \frac{\Psi_{n,k}(\tau_1 - \delta_1, \tau_1, \tau_1 - \delta_2) - \Psi_{n,k}(\tau_2 - \delta_1, \tau_2, \tau_2 - \delta_2)}{\Psi_{n,k}(\tau_2 - \delta_1, \tau_2, \tau_2 - \delta_2) - \Psi_{n,k}(\tau_3 - \delta_1, \tau_3, \tau_3 - \delta_2)}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}' := (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}' := (\delta_1, \delta_2)$, and the function

$$\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta) := \frac{c_1(\tau_1 - \delta_1, \tau_1, \tau_1 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta) - c_1(\tau_2 - \delta_1, \tau_2, \tau_2 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta)}{c_1(\tau_2 - \delta_1, \tau_2, \tau_2 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta) - c_1(\tau_3 - \delta_1, \tau_3, \tau_3 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta)}$$

$$= (1-\rho-\beta)^{\tau_3-\tau_1} \frac{(1-\rho-\beta)^{\tau_2}(1-\rho)^{\tau_1} - (1-\rho-\beta)^{\tau_1}(1-\rho)^{\tau_2}}{(1-\rho-\beta)^{\tau_3}(1-\rho)^{\tau_2} - (1-\rho-\beta)^{\tau_2}(1-\rho)^{\tau_3}},$$

with

$$(5) \quad c_1(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \rho, \beta) := \frac{I_2(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho, \beta) - \psi(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \rho)I_2(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho, \beta)}{I_1(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho)}.$$

Lemma 1 *The function $\beta \mapsto \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)$ is decreasing for $\beta \in (-\infty, 0)$ with*

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0^-} \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta) = -\frac{\tau_2 - \tau_1}{\tau_2 - \tau_3} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow -\infty} \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta) = +\infty.$$

Now, consider the estimating equation $\Lambda_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)$, from which the estimator for β can be obtained by inversion:

$$\hat{\beta}_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho) := \Lambda^{\leftarrow}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \Lambda_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta})),$$

provided $\Lambda_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) > -(\tau_2 - \tau_1)/(\tau_2 - \tau_3)$.

Asymptotic properties

Theorem 1 *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables according to a distribution satisfying (\mathcal{R}_3) . Then, if $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $k/n \rightarrow 0$ and $\sqrt{kb}(n/k)\tilde{b}(n/k) \rightarrow \infty$ we have that $\Lambda_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)$ and that $\hat{\beta}_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \beta$. Further, if $\hat{\rho}_{n,k}$ is a consistent estimator for ρ , then also $\hat{\beta}_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \hat{\rho}_{n,k}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \beta$.*

The recent extreme value literature contains several consistent estimators for the second order parameter ρ that work very well in practice; see e.g. Gomes *et al.* (2002), Fraga Alves *et al.* (2003), Ciuperca and Mercadier (2010), and Goegebeur *et al.* (2010). In these papers it is shown that consistency of the estimators for ρ can be obtained when the sequence \check{k} satisfies $\check{k} \rightarrow \infty$ with $\check{k}/n \rightarrow 0$ and $\sqrt{\check{k}b(n/\check{k})} \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if additionally $\sqrt{\check{k}b(n/\check{k})}\check{b}(n/\check{k}) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ and $\sqrt{\check{k}b^2(n/\check{k})} \rightarrow \lambda_2$ we can obtain asymptotic normality for $\hat{\rho}_{n,\check{k}}$, when appropriately normalized. In the framework of Theorem 1, \check{k} can be taken equal to k , the number of extreme values used in the estimation of β . Indeed, $\sqrt{kb(n/k)}\check{b}(n/k) \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $\sqrt{kb(n/k)} \rightarrow \infty$, and hence $\hat{\rho}_{n,k}$ will be consistent for ρ , though for such a sequence we may no longer guarantee asymptotic normality of the normalized $\hat{\rho}_{n,k}$.

We now establish that our class of estimators for the third order parameter, when appropriately normalized, converges in distribution to a normal random variable.

Denote

$$R_{\rho,\beta,\eta}(x) := \int_1^x y^{\rho-1} \int_1^y u^{\beta-1} \int_1^u s^{\eta-1} ds du dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} \left[\frac{1}{\beta + \eta} \left(\frac{x^{\rho+\beta+\eta} - 1}{\rho + \beta + \eta} - \frac{x^\rho - 1}{\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{x^{\rho+\beta} - 1}{\rho + \beta} - \frac{x^\rho - 1}{\rho} \right) \right], \quad x > 0, \rho, \beta, \eta < 0.$$

Fourth order condition (\mathcal{R}_4) *There exists a positive real parameter γ , negative real parameters ρ, β and η , functions b, \check{b} and \check{b} with $b(t) \rightarrow 0, \check{b}(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $\check{b}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, all of constant sign for large values of t , such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\check{b}(t)} \left[\frac{1}{\check{b}(t)} \left(\frac{\ln U(tx) - \ln U(t) - \gamma \ln x}{b(t)} - D_\rho(x) \right) - H_{\rho,\beta}(x) \right] = R_{\rho,\beta,\eta}(x), \quad \forall x > 0.$$

It is shown in Fraga Alves *et al.* (2006) that $|\check{b}|$ is regularly varying with index of regular variation η .

We start with the expansion of the statistic $T_{n,k}(K)$ under (\mathcal{R}_4). This result is more general than what is needed in the present paper, but is of interest on its own as such an expansion for a general kernel statistic is to date not available.

We impose the following conditions on the kernel function K .

Condition (\mathcal{K}) *Let K be a function defined on $(0, 1)$ such that*

- (i) $K(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t u(v)dv$ for some function u satisfying $\left| (k+1) \int_{(j-1)/(k+1)}^{j/(k+1)} u(t)dt \right| \leq f\left(\frac{j}{k+1}\right)$ for some positive continuous and integrable function f defined on $(0, 1)$,
- (ii) $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k K\left(\frac{j}{k+1}\right) = \mu(K) + o(1/\sqrt{k})$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iii) $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k K\left(\frac{j}{k+1}\right) \left(\frac{j}{k+1}\right)^{-\rho} = I_1(K, \rho) + o(1/\sqrt{k})$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iv) $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k K\left(\frac{j}{k+1}\right) \left(\frac{j}{k+1}\right)^{-\rho-\beta} = I_1(K, \rho + \beta) + o(1/\sqrt{k})$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$,
- (v) $\sigma^2(K) < \infty$,
- (vi) $\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \left| K\left(\frac{i}{k+1}\right) \right| = o(\sqrt{k})$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$,
- (vii) $\int_0^1 |K(u)| u^{|\rho|-1-\varepsilon} du < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Denote

$$I_3(K, \rho, \beta, \eta) := \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^1 K(u) u^{-\rho} \left(\frac{u^{-\beta-\eta} - 1}{\beta + \eta} - \frac{u^{-\beta} - 1}{\beta} \right) du.$$

Theorem 2 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables according to a distribution satisfying (\mathcal{R}_4) . If further (K) holds, then for $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $k/n \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n,k}(K) &\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \gamma\mu(K) + \gamma\sigma(K)\frac{N_k(K)}{\sqrt{k}}(1 + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) + b(Y_{n-k,n})I_1(K, \rho) + b(Y_{n-k,n})O_{\mathbb{P}}(1/\sqrt{k}) \\ &\quad + b(Y_{n-k,n})\tilde{b}(Y_{n-k,n})I_2(K, \rho, \beta) + b(Y_{n-k,n})\tilde{b}(Y_{n-k,n})O_{\mathbb{P}}(1/\sqrt{k}) \\ &\quad + b(Y_{n-k,n})\tilde{b}(Y_{n-k,n})\tilde{b}(Y_{n-k,n})I_3(K, \rho, \beta, \eta)(1 + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $N_k(K)$ is an asymptotic standard normally distributed random variable.

For the log kernel function \mathbb{L}_α we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(\mathbb{L}_\alpha, \rho, \beta, \eta) &= \frac{1}{\eta} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta + \eta} \left[\frac{1}{(1 - \rho - \beta - \eta)^{\alpha+1}} - \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)^{\alpha+1}} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\frac{1}{(1 - \rho - \beta)^{\alpha+1}} - \frac{1}{(1 - \rho)^{\alpha+1}} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now establish the asymptotic normality of our estimator. In this we will use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho, \beta, \eta) &:= I_3(\mathbb{L}_\alpha, \rho, \beta, \eta) - I_3(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \rho, \beta, \eta), \\ c_2(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \rho, \beta, \eta) &:= \frac{I_3(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho, \beta, \eta) - \psi(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \rho)I_3(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho, \beta, \eta)}{I_1(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho)}, \\ c_3(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \rho, \beta) &:= \frac{I_2(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho, \beta)I_2(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho, \beta) - \psi(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \rho)I_2^2(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho, \beta)}{I_1^2(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho)}, \\ N_k(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \gamma) &:= \gamma[\sigma(\mathbb{L}_\alpha)N_k(\mathbb{L}_\alpha) - \sigma(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}})N_k(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\alpha}})], \\ N_k(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \gamma, \rho) &:= \frac{N_k(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \gamma) - \psi(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \check{\alpha}, \rho)N_k(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \gamma)}{I_1(\check{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}, \rho)}, \\ V_k(\tau_1, \tau_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho) &:= N_k(\tau_1 - \delta_1, \tau_1, \tau_1 - \delta_2, \gamma, \rho) - N_k(\tau_2 - \delta_1, \tau_2, \tau_2 - \delta_2, \gamma, \rho), \\ d_1(\tau_1, \tau_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta) &:= c_1(\tau_1 - \delta_1, \tau_1, \tau_1 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta) - c_1(\tau_2 - \delta_1, \tau_2, \tau_2 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta), \\ d_2(\tau_1, \tau_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta, \eta) &:= c_2(\tau_1 - \delta_1, \tau_1, \tau_1 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta, \eta) - c_2(\tau_2 - \delta_1, \tau_2, \tau_2 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta, \eta), \\ d_3(\tau_1, \tau_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta) &:= c_3(\tau_1 - \delta_1, \tau_1, \tau_1 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta) - c_3(\tau_2 - \delta_1, \tau_2, \tau_2 - \delta_2, \rho, \beta), \\ V_k(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho, \beta) &:= \frac{V_k(\tau_1, \tau_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho) - \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)V_k(\tau_2, \tau_3, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho)}{d_1(\tau_2, \tau_3, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta)}, \\ d_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta, \eta) &:= \frac{d_2(\tau_1, \tau_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta, \eta) - \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)d_2(\tau_2, \tau_3, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta, \eta)}{d_1(\tau_2, \tau_3, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta)}, \\ d_3(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta) &:= \frac{d_3(\tau_1, \tau_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta) - \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)d_3(\tau_2, \tau_3, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta)}{d_1(\tau_2, \tau_3, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta)}, \\ v^2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho, \beta) &:= \text{Avar}(V_k(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho, \beta)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables according to a distribution satisfying (\mathcal{R}_4) . Then, if $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $k/n \rightarrow 0$, $\sqrt{kb}(n/k)\tilde{b}(n/k) \rightarrow \infty$, $\sqrt{kb}(n/k)\tilde{b}(n/k)\check{b}(n/k) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ and $\sqrt{kb}(n/k)\tilde{b}^2(n/k) \rightarrow \lambda_2$ we have that

$$\sqrt{kb}(n/k)\tilde{b}(n/k)[\Lambda_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) - \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\rightarrow} N(\lambda_1 d_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta, \eta) - \lambda_2 d_3(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta), v^2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho, \beta)).$$

Let $\Lambda'(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta) := d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)/d\beta$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta, \eta) &:= \frac{d_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta, \eta)}{\Lambda'(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)}, \\ \tilde{d}_3(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta) &:= \frac{d_3(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta)}{\Lambda'(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)}, \\ \tilde{v}^2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho, \beta) &:= \frac{v^2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho, \beta)}{[\Lambda'(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \rho, \beta)]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward application of the delta method establishes the asymptotic normality of the estimators $\hat{\beta}_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho)$ and $\hat{\beta}_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \hat{\rho}_{n,\check{k}})$ for β . The result is stated formally in the next theorem.

Theorem 4 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables according to a distribution satisfying (\mathcal{R}_4) . Then, if $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $k/n \rightarrow 0$, $\sqrt{kb(n/k)\check{b}(n/k)} \rightarrow \infty$, $\sqrt{kb(n/k)\check{b}(n/k)\check{\check{b}}(n/k)} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ and $\sqrt{kb(n/k)\check{b}^2(n/k)} \rightarrow \lambda_2$ we have that

$$\sqrt{kb(n/k)\check{b}(n/k)}[\hat{\beta}_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho) - \beta] \xrightarrow{D} N(\lambda_1\check{d}_2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta, \eta) - \lambda_2\check{d}_3(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho, \beta), \check{v}^2(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \gamma, \rho, \beta)).$$

The result continues to hold when ρ in $\hat{\beta}_{n,k}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \rho)$ is replaced by an external estimator $\hat{\rho}_{n,\check{k}}$ which is such that $\hat{\rho}_{n,\check{k}} - \rho = O_{\mathbb{P}}(1/(\sqrt{\check{k}b(n/\check{k})}))$, when $\sqrt{\check{k}b(n/\check{k})} \rightarrow \infty$, provided

$$(6) \quad \frac{\sqrt{kb(n/k)\check{b}(n/k)}}{\sqrt{\check{k}b(n/\check{k})}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Many important members of the class of Pareto-type models like the Fréchet, Burr and student t, satisfy condition (\mathcal{R}_4) with $b(x) \sim a_1x^\rho$, $\check{b}(x) \sim a_2x^\rho$ and $\check{\check{b}}(x) = a_3x^\rho$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, for some constants a_1 , a_2 and a_3 . For such models one easily obtains from Theorem 4 that the asymptotic mean squared error (AMSE) optimal k is of the order $n^{-6\rho/(1-6\rho)}$, which is larger than the orders $n^{-2\rho/(1-2\rho)}$ and $n^{-4\rho/(1-4\rho)}$ one typically achieves for the estimation of first and second order tail parameters, respectively. When using this AMSE optimal k sequence for the estimation of β , the rate of convergence in Theorem 4 is of the order $n^{\rho/(1-6\rho)}$. Also, in this regard, condition (6) can be easily verified to be satisfied when using the AMSE optimal sequences for k and \check{k} .

REFERENCES (RÉFÉRENCES)

- Beirlant, J., Dierckx, G., Goegebeur, Y., Matthys, G., 1999. Tail index estimation and an exponential regression model. *Extremes* 2, 177–200.
- Beirlant, J., Goegebeur, Y., Segers, J., Teugels, J., 2004. *Statistics of Extremes – Theory and Applications*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics.
- Ciuperca, G., Mercadier, C., 2010. Semi-parametric estimation for heavy tailed distributions. *Extremes* 13, 55–87.
- de Haan, L., Ferreira, A., 2006. *Extreme Value Theory: An Introduction*. Springer.
- Feuerverger, A., Hall, P., 1999. Estimating a tail exponent by modelling departure from a Pareto distribution. *The Annals of Statistics* 27, 760–781.
- Fraga Alves, I., de Haan, L., Lin, T., 2006. Third order extended regular variation. *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique* 80, 109–120.
- Fraga Alves, M.I., Gomes, M.I., de Haan, L., 2003. A new class of semi-parametric estimators of the second order parameter. *Portugaliae Mathematica* 60, 193–213.
- Geluk, J.L., de Haan, L., 1987. *Regular Variation, Extensions and Tauberian Theorems*. CWI Tract 40, Center for Mathematics and Computer Science, Amsterdam.
- Gnedenko, B.V., 1943. Sur la distribution limite du terme maximum d'une série aléatoire. *Annals of Mathematics* 44, 423–453.
- Goegebeur, Y., Beirlant, J., de Wet, T., 2010. Kernel estimators for the second order parameter in extreme value statistics. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 140, 2632–2652.
- Gomes, M.I., de Haan, L., Peng, L., 2002. Semi-parametric estimation of the second order parameter in statistics of extremes. *Extremes* 5, 387–414.