

Generalized Logistic Models and its orthant tail dependence

Ferreira, Helena

University of Beira Interior, Department of Mathematics

Avenida Marquês d'Ávila e Bolama

6200-001 Covilhã, Portugal

E-mail: helenaf@ubi.pt

Pereira, Luísa

University of Beira Interior, Department of Mathematics

Avenida Marquês d'Ávila e Bolama

6200-001 Covilhã, Portugal

E-mail: lpereira@ubi.pt

1. The model

Let $\mathcal{L}(Z|W)$ denotes the conditional distribution of a random variable or vector Z given another random variable or vector W . For the vectors $\mathbf{X}_j = (X_{j,1}, \dots, X_{j,d})$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, and $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_q)$, defined on the same probability space, we shall assume that:

$$(a) \mathcal{L}((\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_q) | \mathbf{S}) = \prod_{j=1}^q \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}_j | \mathbf{S}),$$

$$(b) \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}_j | \mathbf{S}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}_j | S_j),$$

$$(c) P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^d X_{ji} \leq x_i | S_j\right) = C_j \left(e^{-\left(\frac{x_1}{\beta_{j1}}\right)^{-1/\alpha_j} S_j}, \dots, e^{-\left(\frac{x_d}{\beta_{jd}}\right)^{-1/\alpha_j} S_j} \right), \quad x_j > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \text{ where}$$

C_j 's are max-stable copulas and $\{\beta_{ji}, j = 1, \dots, q, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ are non-negative constants such that $\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_{ji} = 1, i = 1, \dots, d,$

$$(d) E(e^{-tS_j}) = e^{-t^{\alpha_j}}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, q, \text{ where } \alpha_j \text{'s are constants in } (0, 1]$$

and

$$(e) \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}) = \prod_{j=1}^q \mathcal{L}(S_j).$$

Thus every X_{ji} is a scale mixture with mixing variable $\beta_{ji}S_j^{\alpha_j}$ and $\mathbf{X}_j, j = 1, \dots, q$, are conditionally independent given \mathbf{S} .

Scale mixtures have been studied and used in a variety of applications (Marshall and Olkin (1988, [7]), Joe and Hu (1996, [5]) and Fougères et al. (2009, [2]), Li (2009, [6])).

We shall consider here a componentwise maxima model from the \mathbf{X}_j 's. From this model we derive a new family of copulas and analyze its orthant tail dependence by computing the multivariate tail dependence coefficients considered in Li (2009, [6])). Finally we apply the results to the particular case of C_j being the copula arising from the distribution of the variables in a M4 process (Smith and Weissman, 1996, [10]).

Proposition 1 *If the random vectors $\mathbf{X}_j, j = 1, \dots, q$, and \mathbf{S} satisfy the conditions (a)-(e) then $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_d)$ defined by $Y_i = \bigvee_{j=1}^q X_{ji}, i = 1, \dots, d$, has multivariate extreme value distribution with*

unit Fréchet margins and copula

$$(1) \quad C_{\mathbf{Y}}(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^q \left(- \ln C_j \left(e^{-(-\beta_{j1} \ln u_1)^{1/\alpha_j}}, \dots, e^{-(-\beta_{jd} \ln u_d)^{1/\alpha_j}} \right) \right)^{\alpha_j} \right\}.$$

Proof. To obtain $C_{\mathbf{Y}}$ we just apply the conditional independence of the \mathbf{X}_j 's followed by the max-stability of C_j 's and the α_j -stability of each S_j , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^d \{Y_i \leq x_i\} \right) &= \int P \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^q \bigcap_{i=1}^d \{X_{ji} \leq x_i\} \mid \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{s} \right) d\mathbf{S}(s_1, \dots, s_q) = \\ &= \int \prod_{j=1}^q C_j \left(e^{-\left(\frac{x_1}{\beta_{j1}}\right)^{-1/\alpha_j} s_j}, \dots, e^{-\left(\frac{x_d}{\beta_{jd}}\right)^{-1/\alpha_j} s_j} \right) d\mathbf{S}(s_1, \dots, s_q) = \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^q \exp \left\{ - \left(- \ln C_j \left(e^{-\left(\frac{x_1}{\beta_{j1}}\right)^{-1/\alpha_j}, \dots, e^{-\left(\frac{x_d}{\beta_{jd}}\right)^{-1/\alpha_j}} \right) \right)^{\alpha_j} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each j and i , X_{ji} is a positive α_j -stable size mixture of a Fréchet distribution with location β_{ji} , scale $\beta_{ji}\alpha_j$ and shape parameter α_j and has itself Fréchet distribution with same location and the same right end point, but scale β_{ji} and shape parameter 1. Since $\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_{ji} = 1, i = 1, \dots, d$, each Y_i has unit Fréchet distribution. The max-stability of $C_{\mathbf{Y}}$ follows from its expression and the max-stability of the C_j 's. ■

We now discuss some particular cases of (1) that has been explored.

(I) If $q = 1$ then $\beta_{1i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, d$, and

$$C_{\mathbf{Y}}(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \exp \left\{ - \left(- \ln C_1 \left(e^{-(-\ln u_1)^{1/\alpha_1}}, \dots, e^{-(-\ln u_d)^{1/\alpha_1}} \right) \right)^{\alpha_1} \right\}$$

is a generalisation of the Archimedean copula (Joe, 1997, [4]), which for the particular case of the product copula $C_1 = \Pi$ leads to the Gumbel-Hougaard or logistic copula. The dependence properties of the special case of $C_1(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq d} C_{\{s,t\}}(u_s^{p_s}, u_t^{p_t}) \prod_{i=1}^d u_i^{p_i \nu_i}$, where $C_{\{s,t\}}, 1 \leq s < t \leq d$, are bivariate copulas and $(d-1)p_i + p_i \nu_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, d$, were analysed in Joe and Hu (1996, [5]).

(II) If $C_j = \Pi, j = 1, \dots, q$, then

$$\prod_{j=1}^q \exp \left\{ - \left(- \ln C_j \left(e^{-\left(\frac{x_1}{\beta_{j1}}\right)^{-1/\alpha_j}, \dots, e^{-\left(\frac{x_d}{\beta_{jd}}\right)^{-1/\alpha_j}} \right) \right)^{\alpha_j} \right\} = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^q \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{x_i}{\beta_{ji}} \right)^{-1/\alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j} \right\},$$

which leads to an asymmetric logistic copula

$$(2) \quad C_{\mathbf{Y}}(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^q \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (-\beta_{ji} \ln u_i)^{-1/\alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j} \right\}.$$

In (2), if we take $\alpha_j = \alpha$, $j = 1, \dots, q \leq +\infty$, we find an analogous mixture of extreme value distributions to those considered in Fougères et al. (2009, [2]) by departing just from a random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}_j|\mathbf{S}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \mathcal{L}(X_i|\mathbf{S})$$

and

$$P(X_i \leq x|\mathbf{S}) = \exp\left\{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^q c_{ji}S_j\right)\left(1 + \gamma_i \frac{x - \mu_i}{\sigma_i}\right)^{-1/\gamma_i}\right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

That this, in this different approach, conditionally on \mathbf{S} , the vector \mathbf{X} has independent margins and each margin is a power mixture of an extreme value distribution with mixing variable $\sum_{j=1}^q c_{ji}S_j$, where the c_{ji} are non-negative constants.

(III) Assume now, in (2), that each j corresponds to an element A of the set \mathcal{S} , the class of all nonempty subsets of $D = \{1, \dots, d\}$. If $\beta_{Ai} = 0$ for each $i \notin A$ then the copula (2) becomes

$$(3) \quad C_{\mathbf{Y}}(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{A \subset \mathcal{S}} \left(\sum_{i \in A} (-\beta_{Ai} \ln u_i)^{-1/\alpha_A}\right)^{\alpha_A}\right\},$$

with $\sum_{A \subset \mathcal{S}} \beta_{Ai} = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. This is the asymmetric logistic model considered in Tawn (1990, [11]), by following a different probabilistic approach. More generally, by applying the same interpretation of the constants β_{ji} in (1), we obtain

$$(4) \quad C_{\mathbf{Y}}(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \exp\left\{\sum_{A \subset \mathcal{S}} \left(-\ln C_A\left(e^{-(-\beta_{A i_1(A)} \ln u_1)^{1/\alpha_A}}, \dots, e^{-(-\beta_{A i_s(A)} \ln u_d)^{1/\alpha_A}}\right)\right)^{\alpha_A}\right\},$$

where C_A 's are copulas with different dimensions and we denote by $(i_1(A), \dots, i_s(A))$ the sub-vector of $(1, \dots, d)$ corresponding to indices in A . In particular, if we begin with one copula $C_j = C$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, then C_A , $A \subset \mathcal{S}$, are all the sub-copulas of C .

(IV) Finally, let us suppose that $\beta_{ji} = \beta_j$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, in (1). Then

$$(5) \quad C_{\mathbf{Y}}(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \prod_{j=1}^q \exp\left\{-\left(\ln C_j\left(e^{-(-\ln u_1)^{1/\alpha_j}}, \dots, e^{-(-\ln u_d)^{1/\alpha_j}}\right)\right)^{\alpha_j} \beta_j\right\},$$

with $\sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j = 1$, that is, $C_{\mathbf{Y}}$ is a geometric mean of mixtures of powers of multivariate extreme value distributions. The particular case of the weighted geometric mean $C_{\mathbf{Y}}(u_1, u_2) = (u_1 \wedge u_2)^{\beta_1} (u_1 u_2)^{1-\beta_1}$ is due to Cuadras and Augé (1981, [1]).

2. Orthant tail dependence

For a random vector $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_d)$ with continuous margins F_1, \dots, F_d and copula C , let the bivariate (upper) tail dependence parameters defined by

$$(6) \quad \lambda_{\{s,t\}}^{(\mathbf{Y})} \equiv \lambda_{\{s,t\}}^{(C)} = \lim_{u \uparrow 1} P(F_s(Y_s) > u | F_t(Y_t) > u), \quad 1 \leq s < t \leq d.$$

The tail dependence is a copula based measure and it holds

$$(7) \quad \lambda_{\{s,t\}}^{(C)} = 2 - \lim_{u \uparrow 1} \frac{\ln C_{\{s,t\}}(u, u)}{\ln u},$$

where $C_{\{s,t\}}$ is the copula of the sub-vector (Y_s, Y_t) (Joe (1997, [4]), Nelsen (1999, [8])).

To characterise the relative strength of extremal dependence with respect to a particular subset of random variables of \mathbf{Y} one can use conditional orthant tail probabilities of \mathbf{Y} given that the components with indices in the subset J are extreme. The tail dependence of bivariate copulas can be extended as done in Schmid and Schmidt (2007) ([9]) and Li (2009) ([6]).

For $\emptyset \neq J \subset D = \{1, \dots, d\}$, let

$$(8) \quad \lambda_J^{(\mathbf{Y})} \equiv \lambda_J^{(C)} = \lim_{u \uparrow 1} P \left(\bigcap_{j \notin J} \{F_j(Y_j) > u\} \mid \bigcap_{j \in J} \{F_j(Y_j) > u\} \right).$$

If for some $\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ the parameter $\lambda_J^{(C)}$ exists and is positive then we say that \mathbf{Y} is (upper) orthant tail dependent.

We have $\lambda_J^{(C)} = \frac{\lambda_{\{s\}}^{(C)}}{\lambda_{\{s\}}^{(C_J)}}$, if $\lambda_{\{s\}}^{(C_J)} \neq 0$ and the relation (7) between the tail dependence parameter and the bivariate copula can also be generalized by

$$(9) \quad \lambda_J^{(C)} = \lim_{u \uparrow 1} \frac{\sum_{\emptyset \neq A \subset D} (-1)^{|A|-1} \ln C_A(\mathbf{u}_A)}{\sum_{\emptyset \neq A \subset J} (-1)^{|A|-1} \ln C_A(\mathbf{u}_A)},$$

where C_A denotes the sub-copula of C corresponding to margins with indices in A and \mathbf{u}_A the $|A|$ -dimensional vector (u, \dots, u) . By applying this relation and the max-stability of the copulas C_j , we get the following result.

Proposition 2 For a copula C defined by (1), it holds

(a)

$$(10) \quad \lambda_J^{(C)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\emptyset \neq A \subset D} (-1)^{|A|-1} \left(-\ln C_{j,A} \left(e^{-\beta_{j1}^{1/\alpha_j}}, \dots, e^{-\beta_{jd}^{1/\alpha_j}} \right)_A \right)^{\alpha_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\emptyset \neq A \subset J} (-1)^{|A|-1} \left(-\ln C_{j,A} \left(e^{-\beta_{j1}^{1/\alpha_j}}, \dots, e^{-\beta_{jd}^{1/\alpha_j}} \right)_A \right)^{\alpha_j}},$$

where $C_{j,A}$ denotes the sub-copula of C_j corresponding to the margins with indices in A .

(b) If $C_j = \Pi$, for each $j = 1, \dots, q$, then

$$(11) \quad \lambda_J^{(C)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\emptyset \neq A \subset D} (-1)^{|A|-1} \left(\sum_{i \in A} \beta_{ji}^{1/\alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\emptyset \neq A \subset J} (-1)^{|A|-1} \left(\sum_{i \in A} \beta_{ji}^{1/\alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j}}.$$

The tail dependence result in (10) depends on the mixing variables through the parameters α_j , even for the case of $q = 1$, that is the global dependence added by the mixing variables doesn't vanish in extremes of maxima. This contrast with the result in Li (2009, [6]), where the scale mixture of MEV distributions (RX_1, \dots, RX_d) is considered with the mixing variable R satisfying $\frac{E(e^{-ctR})}{E(e^{-tR})} \rightarrow c^{-\alpha}$, as t tends to ∞ , and $c \geq 1, \alpha > 0$. In this case the upper tail dependence parameters are exactly the same as the parameters of the MEV distribution without mixing.

We remark that, for $\beta_{ji} = \beta_j, i = 1, \dots, d$, the numerator in (10) is, for each $A \subset D$,

$$\lambda_{\{s\}}^{(C_A)} = \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j \lambda_{\{s\}}^{(C_{j,A})}$$

that is, the tail dependence parameter $\lambda_{\{s\}}^{(C_A)}$ is a linear convex combination of the corresponding tail dependence parameters for the sub-copulas $C_{j,A}$ of $C_j, j = 1, \dots, q$.

The result in (11) leads to

$$\lambda_{\{s,t\}}^{(C)} = 2 - \sum_{j=1}^q \left(\beta_{js}^{1/\alpha_j} + \beta_{jt}^{1/\alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j},$$

extending the the known result

$$(12) \quad \lambda_{\{s,t\}}^{(C)} = 2 - 2^\alpha,$$

corresponding to $q = 1$ (Joe (1997, [4]), Nelsen (1999, [8])). The result in (10) enables to extend the equation (12) for other copulae C_1 than the product copula as

$$(13) \quad \lambda_{\{s,t\}}^{(C)} = 2 - (2 - \lambda_{\{s,t\}}^{(C_1)})^\alpha.$$

3. Example

We will suppose that $C_j = C, j = 1, \dots, d$, with

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^d u_i^{a_{lki}} \right), \quad u_j \in [0, 1], \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $\{a_{lkj}, l \geq 1, -\infty < k < \infty, 1 \leq j \leq d\}$, are nonnegative constants satisfying

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{lkj} = 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, d.$$

That copula arises from the common distribution of the variables of an M4 process (Smith and Weissman (1996, [10])).

Then the copula in (1) becomes

$$(14) \quad C_Y(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{j=1}^q \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i=1}^d (-\beta_{ji} a_{lki}^{\alpha_j} \ln u_i)^{1/\alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j} \right\}.$$

By applying the result in Proposition 2.1. (a), we obtain for the numerator in (10)

$$\lambda_{\{s\}}^{(C_Y)} = \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{\emptyset \neq A \subset D} (-1)^{|A|-1} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \bigvee_{i \in A} (a_{lki} \beta_{ji}^{1/\alpha_j}) \right)^{\alpha_j}.$$

For the bivariate tail dependence it holds

$$\lambda_{\{s,t\}}^{(C_Y)} = 2 - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^q \left(a_{lks} \beta_{js}^{1/\alpha_j} \vee a_{lkt} \beta_{jt}^{1/\alpha_j} \right)^{\alpha_j},$$

which, for the case $q = 1$ leads to the result $\lambda_{\{s,t\}}^{(C_Y)} = 2 - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_{lks} \vee a_{lkt})$ in Heffernan et al. (2007, [3]).

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by the research unit "Centro de Matemática" of the University of Beira Interior and the research project PTDC/MAT/108575/2008 through the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) and co-financed by FEDER/COMPETE.

References

- [1] Cuadras, C.M. and Augé, J. (1981). A continuous general multivariate distribution and its properties. *Comm. Statist. A - Theory Methods* 10, 339-353.
- [2] Fougères, A.-L., Nolan, J.P. and Rootzén, H. (2009). Models for dependent extremes using scale mixtures. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 36, 42-59.
- [3] Heffernan, J. E., Tawn, J. A., Zhang, Z. (2007). Asymptotically (in)dependent multivariate maxima of moving maxima processes, *Extremes*, 10, 57-82.
- [4] Joe, H. (1997). *Multivariate Models and Dependence Concepts*. Chapman & Hall, London.
- [5] Joe, H. and Hu, T. (1996). Multivariate distributions from mixtures of max-infinitely divisible distributions. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 57, 240-265.
- [6] Li, H. (2009). Orthant tail dependence of multivariate extreme value distributions. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 100, 243-256.
- [7] Marshall, A.W., Olkin, I. (1988). Families of multivariate distributions, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 83, 834-841.
- [8] Nelsen R. B. (1999). *An Introduction to Copulas*. Springer, New York.
- [9] Schmid, F., Schmidt, R. (2007). Multivariate conditional versions of Spearman's rho and related measures of tail dependence. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 98, 1123-1140.
- [10] Smith, R.L., Weissman, I. (1996). Characterization and estimation of the multivariate extremal index. Technical Report, Univ. North, Carolina.
- [11] Tawn, J. (1990). Modelling multivariate extreme value distributions. *Biometrika* 77, 2, 245-253.