

On the Pickands stochastic process

Fall, Adja Mbarka

Université Gaston Berger, UFR SAT, LERSTAD

Route de Ngallèle

Saint-Louis (BP 234), Sénégal

E-mail: adjambarkafall@gmail.com, adjambarkafall@ufrsat.org

Lo, Gane Samb

Université Gaston Berger, UFR SAT, LERSTAD

Route de Ngallèle

Saint-Louis (BP 234), Sénégal

E-mail: gane-samb.lo@ugb.edu.sn, ganesamblo@ufrsat.org

1 Introduction

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sequence of independent random variables of distribution function F with $F(1) = 0$, and let $X_{1,n} < X_{2,n}, \dots < X_{n,n}$, denote the order statistics of X_1, \dots, X_n for any fixed $n \geq 1$. Let $k = k(n)$ be sequence of positive integers satisfying:

$$(K) \quad k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad k/n \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \log \log n/k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow +\infty$$

We are concerned with this follow stochastic process

$$(1.1) \quad P_n(s) = \log(1/s)^{-1} \log \frac{X_{n-k+1,n} - X_{n-[k/s]+1,n}}{X_{n-[k/s]+1,n} - X_{n-[k/s^2]+1,n}}, \quad \text{for} \quad s^2 \geq \frac{k}{n}.$$

If $s^2 < \frac{k}{n}$, we put $P_n(s) = 0$. For $s = 1/2$, $P_n(1/2)$ is the so-called Pickands estimator of the extremal index γ when F is in the extremal domain of a Generalized Extreme Value Distribution $G_\gamma(x) = \exp(-(1 + \gamma x)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}})$, for $1 + \gamma x > 0$, with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

This stochastic process has been studied by many authors: Alves (1995), Falk (1994), Pereira (1994), Yun (2000 and 2002), Segers (2002) [8], etc. This latter gave a summarize of the previous works.

The handling of the Pickands process heavily depends that of the stochastic process of the large quantiles $\{X_{n-[k/s]+1,n}, n \geq 1\}$.

Drees (1995) [4] and de Haan and Ferreira (2006)[3] provided asymptotic uniform and Gaussian approximation of(1.1) when F is in the extremal domain under second order conditions. Segers (2002)[8] used such results to construct new estimators of the extremal index with integrals of statistics on the form of $P_n(s)$. This motivates us to undertake a purely stochastic process approach of the Pickands process in a simpler way but in a more adequate handling in order to derive from this study many potentials applications.

2 Results

Let us introduce some notation. First define the generalized inverse of F : $F^{-1}(s) = \inf \{x, F(x) \geq s\}$, $0 < s < 1$, and let

$$p_n(s) = \log(1/s)^{-1} \log \frac{F^{-1}(1 - [k/s]/n) - F^{-1}(1 - k/n)}{F^{-1}(1 - [k/s^2]/n) - F^{-1}(1 - [k/s]/n)}.$$

We are going to investigate this Pickands process $\{\kappa_n(s), \frac{k}{n} < s^2 < 1\} = \left\{ \sqrt{k}(P_n(s) - p_n(s)), \frac{k}{n} < s^2 < 1 \right\}$

But it is easy to see that $P_n(s) \rightarrow K(\gamma) = \gamma \mathbf{1}_{\{\gamma \neq +\infty\}}$ for $F \in D(G_{1/\gamma})$. This extends the motivation to the study of $\{\kappa_n^*(s), \frac{k}{n} < s^2 < 1\} = \left\{ \sqrt{k}(P_n(s) - K(\gamma)), \frac{k}{n} < s^2 < 1 \right\}$.

Since our conditions depend on auxilliary functions of the representations of functions in the extremal domain $F \in D(G_{1/\gamma})$, $\gamma < 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\gamma = +\infty$. For the Frechet case $\gamma > 0$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad F^{-1}(1 - u) = c(1 + p(u))u^{-K(\gamma)} \exp\left(\int_u^1 t^{-1}b(t)dt\right).$$

where $(p(u), b(u)) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ quand $u \rightarrow 0$, c is a positive constant The representations (2.1) is the Karamata representation.

We fixe two numbers a and b , $a < b$, such that $[a, b] \subset]0, 1[$. For each $\gamma > 0$, we will note $a(u) = F^{-1}(1 - u)$ and for $\gamma < 0$, $a(u) = x_0 - F^{-1}(1 - u)$. Set $k_{a^2} = [a^{-2}]k$ and $\lambda > 1$ a real number. Finally we define for an arbitrary function h defined on $(0, 1)$ in \mathbb{R} ,

$$h_n(\lambda, h, a) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \lambda k_{a^2}/n} |h(t)|.$$

We shall consider the regularity conditions

$$(RC1) \quad \sqrt{k}p_n(\lambda, p, a) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(RC2) \quad \sqrt{k}b_n(\lambda, b, a) \rightarrow 0.$$

All unspecified limits occur when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally we denote $o_p(s, a)$ et $o_p(s, a, b)$ respectively the uniform limits in $s \in [0, 1]$ and $s \in [a, b]$. Here are our main results.

Theorem 1 *Let $F \in D(G_{1/\gamma})$, $\gamma < 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\gamma = +\infty$. Let $0 < a < b < 1$. If (RC1) and (RC2) hold then $\{\kappa_n(s), s \in [a, b]\}$ converges to a Gaussian process $\{\mathbb{G}(s), a < s < b\}$ in $\ell^\infty([a, b])$, of covariance function*

$$\Gamma(s, t) = \frac{1}{(s^{-K(\gamma)} - 1)(t^{-K(\gamma)} - 1) \log s \log t} \{ (s^{-K(\gamma)} - 1)[t(t^{-K(\gamma)} - 1) + t^{-K(\gamma)}K(\gamma)s - K(\gamma)t^2 - K(\gamma)^2t^2] + (t^{-K(\gamma)} - 1)[K(\gamma)(s^{-K(\gamma)}t - s^2)] + K(\gamma)^2t^{-K(\gamma)}[s^{-K(\gamma)} - s^2] \}$$

with the convention that $\Gamma(s, t) = \frac{1-s^2}{(\log s)^2(\log t)^2}$, for $K(\gamma) = 0$.

Also $\{\kappa_n^*(s), a < s < b\}$ converges to \mathbb{G} , in $\ell^\infty([a, b])$.

3 Proof of the results

It is based on the so-called Hungarian construction of Csörgő et al. (1986) [2]. For this define by $\{U_n(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$, the uniform empirical distribution function and $\{V_n(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$, the uniform empirical quantile function, based on the $n \geq 1$ first observations U_1, U_2, \dots sampled from a uniform random variables on $(0, 1)$ and let $\{\beta_n(s); 0 \leq s \leq 1\} = \{\sqrt{n}(U_n(s) - s), 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$, be the corresponding empirical process. One version of the Csörgő et al. (1986)[2] is the following

Theorem 2 (Csörgő et al. (1986)) *There exists a probability space holding a sequence of independent random variables U_1, U_2, \dots uniformly distributed on $(0, 1)$ and a sequence of Brownian bridges $\{B_n(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\} = \{W_n(t) - tW_n(1), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ such that for any $0 < \nu < 1/2$ and $d \geq 0$*

$$\sup_{d/n \leq s \leq 1-d/n} \frac{|\beta_n(s) - B_n(s)|}{\{s(1-s)\}^{1/2-\nu}} = O_p(n^{-\nu}).$$

From this, we derive the Gaussian approximation of the following process $\{U_{[k/s],n} - \frac{[k/s]}{n}, \frac{k}{n} \leq s \leq 1\}$ in:

Lemma 1 *On the Csörgő et al. (1986) probability space we have*

$$\sup_{k/(n-1) \leq s \leq 1} \left| \sqrt{k} \left(\frac{n}{[k/s]} U_{[k/s],n} - 1 \right) - W_n(1, s) \right| = O_p(1),$$

where $W_n(1, s) = s(\frac{k}{n})^{-1/2} W_n(k/(ns))$ is a Wiener process.

Our proof is performed on the space of Theorem 2. For conciseness, we restrict ourselves to the case $\gamma > 0$, since the other cases are proved similiary.

We will just outline the proof. We begin by establishing that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\sqrt{k} \left\{ X_{n-[k/s]+1,n} - F^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{[k/s]}{n}\right) \right\}}{a(k/n)} = -K(\gamma) s^{K(\gamma)} W_n(1, s) + o_p(s, a, b)$$

For this, we let

$$A_n(s) = X_{n-k+1,n} - X_{n-[k/s]+1,n}, B_n(s) = X_{n-[k/s]+1,n} - X_{n-[k/s^2]+1,n} \text{ and} \\ a_n(s) = F^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) - F^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{[k/s]}{n}\right), b_n(s) = F^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{[k/s]}{n}\right) - F^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{[k/s^2]}{n}\right).$$

By using (2.1), we have

$$X_{n-[k/s]+1,n} / F^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{[k/s]}{n}\right) = \frac{1 + p(U_{[k/s],n})}{1 + p([k/s]/n)} \left(\frac{n}{[k/s]} U_{[k/s],n} \right)^{-K(\gamma)} \exp\left(\int_{U_{[k/s],n}}^{[k/s]/n} t^{-1} b(t) dt\right).$$

We shall treat the three items one by one. Since (RC1) and (RC2) hold, by using Lemma 1 and by the Mean Value Theorem, we arrive at

$$(3.2) \quad \sqrt{k} \left(\frac{1 + p(U_{[k/s],n})}{1 + p([k/s]/n)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

in probability, uniformly in $s \in [a, b]$,

$$(3.3) \quad \sqrt{k} \left(\frac{n}{[k/s]} U_{[k/s],n} \right)^{-K(\gamma)} - 1 = -K(\gamma) W_n(1, s) (1 + o_p(s, a, b)) = -K(\gamma) W_n(1, s) + o_p(s, a, b)$$

and

$$\sqrt{k} \left\{ \left(\frac{n}{[k/s]} U_{[k/s],n} \right)^{b_n(\lambda, b, a)} - 1 \right\} = b_n(\lambda, b, a) (W_n(1, s) + o_p(s, a, b)) (1 + o_p(s, a, b)),$$

which is $o_p(s, a, b)$, since $\sup_{s \in (0,1)} |W_n(1, s)|$ is bounded in probability. Finally

$$\frac{\sqrt{k} \left\{ X_{n-[k/s]+1,n} - F^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{[k/s]}{n}\right) \right\}}{a([k/s]/n)} = -K(\gamma) W_n(1, s) + o_p(s, a, b)$$

where, for $a(s) = F^{-1}(1 - s)$, we used the result that $a([k/s]/n)/a(k/n)$ tends uniformly in $s^{-K(\gamma)}$ for $s \in (a, b)$. This achieves the proof of (3.1), thus we use it to prove Theorem 1. We put

$$W_n(2, s) = \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{-1/2} W_n(k/n), W_n(3, s) = s^2 \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{-1/2} W_n(s^{-2}k/n).$$

This latter is a Gaussian process with covariance function $\min(s^2, t^2)$. We denote now $C_n(s) = \frac{A_n(s)}{B_n(s)}$ and $c_n(s) = \frac{a_n(s)}{b_n(s)}$. We will have

$$\log C_n(s) - \log c_n(s) = (s^{K(\gamma)} + o_p(a, s)) (C_n(s) - c_n(s))$$

The same techniques leads to

$$a_n(s) = a([k/s]/n)(1 - s^{-K(\gamma)})(1 + o_p(s, a)), \quad A_n(s) = a(U_{[k/s],n})(1 - s^{-K(\gamma)})(1 + o_p(s, a))$$

We determine $b_n(s)$ and $B_n(s)$ in the same way and we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (\log(1/s))^{-1} \sqrt{k} \{ \log C_n(s) - \log c_n(s) \} &= \log(1/s)^{-1} \frac{K(\gamma)}{(1 - s^{-K(\gamma)})} (1 + o_p(s, a)) \\ &\times \left\{ (s^{-K(\gamma)} - 1)(W_n(1, s) + o_p(s, a)) + s^{-K(\gamma)}(W_n(2, s) + o_p(s, a)) - W_n(3, s) + o_p(s, a) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by restraining ourselves to $s \in [a, b] \subset]0, 1[$, we get uniformly in those s ,

$$\kappa_n(s) = \sqrt{k} \{ P_n(s) - \log c_n(s) / \log(1/s) \} = \mathbb{G}_n(s) + o_p(s, a, b),$$

where

$$\mathbb{G}_n(s) = \frac{K(\gamma)}{(s^{-K(\gamma)} - 1) \log s} \left\{ (s^{-K(\gamma)} - 1)(W_n(1, s) + s^{-K(\gamma)}W_n(2, s) - W_n(3, s)) \right\}$$

is a Gaussian process with covariance $\Gamma_n(s, t) \rightarrow \Gamma(s, t)$ expressed in Theorem 1. To extend our result to $\kappa_n^*(s)$ we have to prove that under (RC1) and (RC2),

$$(3.4) \quad \sqrt{k}(p_n(s) - K(\gamma)) \rightarrow 0$$

In the Theorem 1, the asymptotic laws comes from that of $\frac{n}{k}U_{[k/s],n}$. All the remainder terms are controlled uniformly by the regularity conditions. If we treat (3.4) the corresponding part $\frac{n}{k}[k/s]/n$ satisfies : $\sqrt{k}(\frac{n}{k}[k/s]/n - (\frac{1}{s})^{K(\gamma)}) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $s \in [a, b]$. By respectively the same proofs, we arrive at the result for $\gamma < 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\gamma = +\infty$, and this completes the proof. For further more details see Fall, A. M. and Lo, G. S. (2011) [5].

References

- [1] A. W. van der Vaart and J. A. Wellner(1996). *Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes With Applications to Statistics*.Springer, New-York.
- [2] Csörgő, M., Csörgő, S., Horvath, L. and Mason, M. (1986). *Weighted empirical and quantile processes*. Ann. Probab., **14**, 31-85.
- [3] De Haan, L. and Feireira A. (2006). *Extreme value theory: An introduction*. Springer.
- [4] Drees. H. (1995). *A refined Pickands Estimators for the extrem value index*. Annals of Statistics Volume 23, Number 6 , 2059-2080.
- [5] Fall, A. M. and Lo, G. S. (2011). *The Pickands empirical process and applications* Université Gaston Berger. UGB.
- [6] Pickands, J.(1975). *Statistical Inference using extreme value theory*. Ann. Statist., **3**, 119-131.
- [7] Resnick, S.I. (1987). *Extreme Values, Regular Variation and Point Processes*. Springer-Verbag, New-York.
- [8] Segers, J. (2002). *Generalized Pickands Estimators for the Extreme Value Index* J. Statist. Plann. Inference **128** , **2**, 381396.
- [9] Shorack G.R. and Wellner J. A.(1986). *Empirical Processes with Applications to Statistics*. wiley-Interscience, New-York.